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ABSTRACT

We determined the antitumor and antimetastatic efficacy of the camptothecin analogue DX-8951f in an orthotopic metastatic mouse model of pancreatic cancer. DX-8951f showed efficacy against two human pancreatic tumor cell lines in this model. These cell lines were transduced with the green fluorescent protein, enabling high-resolution visualization of tumor and metastatic growth in vivo. The DX-8951f studies included both an early and advanced cancer model. In the early model, using the human pancreatic cancer lines MIA-PaCa-2 and BxPC-3, treatment began when the orthotopic primary tumor was 7 mm in diameter. DX-8951f was significantly effective against both MIA-PaCa-2 and BxPC-3. In contrast, 2', 2'-difluorodeoxycytidine (gemcitabine), the standard treatment for pancreatic cancer, did not have significant efficacy against MIA-PaCa-2. Although gemcitabine showed significant activity against BxPC-3 primary tumor growth, it was not effective on metastasis. In the model of advanced disease, using BxPC-3, treatment started when the orthotopic primary tumor was 13 mm in diameter. DX-8951f was significantly effective in a dose-response manner on the BxPC-3 primary tumor. DX-8951f also demonstrated antimetastatic activity in the late-stage model, significantly reducing the incidence of lymph node metastasis while eliminating lung metastasis. In contrast, gemcitabine was only moderately effective against the primary tumor and ineffective against metastasis at both sites in the late-stage model. Therefore, DX-8951f was highly effective against primary and metastatic growth in this very difficult-to-treat disease and showed significantly higher efficacy than gemcitabine, the standard treatment of pancreatic cancer. DX-8951f, therefore, has important clinical promise and has more positive features than the currently used camptothecin analogue CPT-11, which requires metabolic activation and is toxic.

INTRODUCTION

Effective new drugs are urgently needed for pancreatic cancer. Pancreatic cancer is the fifth leading cause of cancer death in the United States (1). This year ~28,000 Americans will die from cancer of the pancreas. The average life expectancy after being diagnosed with pancreatic cancer is 3–6 months. Reasons for low survival in this disease include aggressive tumor biology, high metastatic potential, and late presentation at the time of diagnosis (2). The chemotherapeutic agent most commonly used to treat metastatic cancer of the pancreas is gemcitabine (3). This nucleoside analogue inhibits cellular repair, induces apoptosis, and is a potent radiosensitizer of rodent and human tumor cells.

Camptothecin (CPT) is an alkaloid extracted from Camptotheca acuminata. CPT binds to topoisomerase I, an enzyme that relaxes the supercoiled DNA duplex during replication and transcription (4). Because tumor cells have been shown to contain more topoisomerase I compared with normal tissue, CPT and its analogs are good candidates for specific cancer treatment. Irinotecan (CPT-11) and topotecan are the most widely used CPT analogues in clinical use and in trials against colon cancer (4). These CPTs contain a terminal lactone ring that makes them unstable in aqueous solutions by undergoing a rapid, pH-dependent, nonenzymatic hydrolysis to form an open-ring hydroxy carboxylic acid. This hydrolysis makes them much less potent inhibitors of topoisomerase I.

Recent trials have added irinotecan to gemcitabine in an attempt to increase efficacy (5). The irinotecan/gemcitabine combination offers encouraging activity in terms of radiographic and tumor marker CA 19-9 response and increased 1-year survival in pancreatic cancer (27%). This regimen is well tolerated, with minimal grade-3 and
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Fig. 1. The mean final primary tumor weight for MIA-PaCa-2 (A) and BxPC-3 (B) early-stage orthotopic human pancreatic models treated with 15 and 25 mg/kg DX-8951f and 150 and 300 mg/kg gemcitabine compared with the control. C, BxPC-3 late-stage model treated with 25 mg/kg DX-8951f and 300 mg/kg gemcitabine compared with control.
grade-4 toxicities and excellent maintenance of planned dose intensity. Despite these encouraging results, the median survival of these patients was still only 5.7 months, indicating additional need for improvement.

DX-8951f is a synthetic analogue of CPT with increased solubility and antitumor efficacy in vitro (6–10). Previous studies have shown DX-8951f to be active against human cancer cell lines in vitro, including pancreatic cancer (7–10). DX-8951f was also shown to be active in s.c. xenograft models, including pancreatic cancer (7, 11). However, these models are limited in that the tumors are growing in an ectopic microenvironment and do not metastasize. In this study, we examined the in vivo antitumor activity of DX-8951f against SOI models of human pancreatic tumors BxPC-3 and MIA-PaCa-2 (12, 13). In this model, the tumor grows in its natural tissue microenvironment enabling a clinical-like pattern of metastasis to ensue. In the present studies, comparison was made in the SOI models with DX-8951f and gemcitabine, the treatment standard for pancreatic cancer.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Pancreatic Cancer Cell Lines**

The BxPC-3 and MIA-PaCa-2 human pancreatic cancer cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD). The cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, 2 mM glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml of streptomycin, and 0.25 μg/ml of amphotericin B (Life Technologies, Inc., Grand Island, NY). Both cell lines were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂.

**GFP-Retroviral Transduction and Selection of High GFP-Expression MIA-PaCa-2 and BxPC-3 Pancreatic Cancer Cells**

The retroXpress vector pLEIN was purchased from Clontech Laboratories, Inc. (Palo Alto, CA). The pLEIN vector expresses enhanced GFP and the neomycin resistance gene on the same bicistronic message. pLEIN was produced in PT67 packaging cells. For GFP gene transduction, 20% confluent MIA-PaCa-2 or BxPC-3 cells were incubated with a 1:1 precipitated mixture of retroviral supernatants of the PT67 packaging cells and RPMI 1640 (Life
Technologies, Inc.) for 72 h. Fresh medium was replenished at this time. MIA-PaCa-2 or BxPC-3 cells were harvested by trypsin/EDTA 72 h after infection with the GFP retroviral supernatants. The cells were then subcultured at a ratio of 1:15 into selective medium that contained 200 µg/ml of G418. The level of G418 was increased to 1000 µg/ml stepwise. MIA-PaCa-2 and BxPC-3 clones expressing GFP (MIA-PaCa-2-GFP or BxPC-3-GFP) were isolated with cloning cylinders (Bel-Art Products, Pequannock, NJ) by trypsin/EDTA. The clones were amplified and transferred by conventional culture methods. High GFP-expression clones were then isolated in the absence of G418 for >10 passages to select for stable expression of GFP (14).

Animals

Female nude mice (NCr-nu) between 5 and 6 weeks of age were maintained in a barrier facility on HEPA-filtered racks. The animals were fed with autoclaved laboratory rodent diet (Tekland LM-485; Western Research Products, Orange, CA). All animal studies were conducted in accordance with the principles and procedures outlined in the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Animals under assurance number A3873-1.

SOI

Pancreatic tumors, grown s.c. in nude mice, were harvested at the exponential growth phase and resected under aseptic conditions. Necrotic tissues were cut away, and the remaining healthy tumor tissues were cut with scissors and minced into ~1 mm³ pieces in HBSS containing 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. For SOI, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane. The abdomen was sterilized with iodine, and an incision was made through the left upper abdominal pararectal line and peritoneum. The pancreas was carefully exposed and three tumor pieces were transplanted on the middle of the pancreas with an 8-0 Dexon (Davis-Geck, Inc., Manati, Puerto-Rico) surgical suture. The pancreas was then returned to the peritoneal cavity, the abdominal wall, and the skin were closed with 6-0 Dexon sutures. Animals were kept in a sterile environment. All procedures of the operation described above were
performed with a 7× microscope (Olympus) under HEPA-filtered laminar flow hoods (15).

**In Vivo Drug Studies**

DX-8951f (Daichi Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Montvale, NJ) was diluted in water and administered i.v. Gemcitabine (Eli Lilly, Indianapolis, IN) was reconstituted in saline and administered i.p. Dosing for both drugs was performed once a week for 3 weeks.

**Early Cancer Model.** At 3 weeks after BxPC-3-GFP and MIA-PaCa-2-GFP orthotopic implantation, mice were randomized into five different groups of 5 mice each for treatment purposes. Group 1 served as the negative control and did not receive any treatment. Groups 2 and 3 were treated with DX-8951f at 25 and 15 mg/kg/dose, respectively. Groups 4 and 5 received gemcitabine treatments at 300 and 150 mg/kg/dose, respectively.

**Late Cancer Model.** At 6 weeks after BxPC-3-GFP orthotopic implantation, mice were randomized into three different groups of 20 mice each for treatment purposes. Group 1 served as the negative control and did not receive any treatment. Group 2 was treated with 25 mg/kg/dose DX-8951f and group 3 received 300 mg/kg/dose gemcitabine. Dosing for both drugs was performed once a week for 3 weeks, discontinued for 2 weeks, and then continued for another 3 weeks.

In both early and late cancer models, primary tumor size and body weights were measured once a week. Tumor volumes were calculated using the formula \( V = a \times b^2 \times 0.5 \), where \( a \) and \( b \) represent the larger and smaller diameters, respectively. At the termination of the studies, mice were sacrificed and explored. Final tumor weights and direct GFP images of primary tumor and metastases were recorded for each mouse (please see below). The tumor growth IR was calculated using the formula IR (%) = \((1 - TW_t/TW_c) \times 100\), where \( TW_t \) and \( TW_c \) are the mean tumor weight of treated and control groups, respectively.

**Microscopy**

A Leica fluorescence stereo microscope model LZ12 (Leica Microsystems, Inc., Bannockburn, IL) equipped with a mercury 50-W lamp power supply was used. Selective excitation of GFP was produced through a D425/60 band-pass filter and 470 DCXR dichroic mirror. Emitted fluorescence was collected through a long-pass filter GG475 (Chroma Technology, Brattleboro, VT) on a Hamamatsu C5810 3-chip cooled color CCD camera (Hamamatsu Photonics Systems, Bridgewater, NJ). Periodically, the tumor-bearing mice were also imaged in a fluorescence light box illuminated by fiberoptic lighting at 490 nm with images collected with the Hamamatsu camera described above (Lightools Research, Inc., Encinitas, CA). High resolution images of 1024 \( \times \) 724 pixels were captured directly on an IBM PC or continuously through video output on a high resolution Sony VCR model SLV-R1000 (Sony Corp., Tokyo, Japan). Images were processed for contrast and brightness and analyzed with the use of Image Pro Plus 3.1 software (Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD; Ref. 16).

**Histological Analysis**

Tissue samples of the primary tumor and lungs were processed for H&E staining for microscope examination. Lung metastasis was evaluated by histological examination under light microscopy.

---

**Fig. 4.** GFP images of primary tumor and metastases of late-stage model of BxPC-3 on day 56. A, control. B, DX-8951f (25 mg/kg; no lymphatic metastases were found). C, gemcitabine (300 mg/kg). White arrows indicate primary tumor; yellow arrows indicate lymphatic metastases.

**Fig. 5.** Occurrence of lymphatic metastasis in DX-8951f- and gemcitabine-treated early-stage model of BxPC-3.
EFFICACY OF CAMPTOTHECIN ANALOG DX-8951f
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Statistical Analysis

The two-tailed t test was used to measure differences in final tumor weights, body weights, and total areas of metastatic foci among the various groups. The two-tailed Fisher’s exact test was used to compare tumor metastatic rates between groups. The statistical analysis was performed on the data collected from animals that survived the duration of the study. A P ≤ 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Early Cancer Model

MIA-PaCa-2-GFP. DX-8951f at doses of 25 and 15 mg/kg significantly inhibited MIA-PaCa primary tumor growth (Figs. 1A and 2). The mean final tumor weight showed significant differences in those animals treated at both doses of DX-8951f compared with the control (P = 0.049 for 15 mg/kg and P = 0.020 for 25 mg/kg). The tumor growth IR (IR%) was 93% for DX-8951f at 25 mg/kg and 79% for DX-8951f at 15 mg/kg compared with control (Figs. 1A and 2). Gemcitabine did not significantly inhibit MIA-PaCa-2 primary tumor growth. The tumor growth IR (IR%) of gemcitabine was 67% (P = 0.105) and 47% (P = 0.233) for the high and low dose, respectively (Figs. 1A and 2).

BxPC-3-GFP. DX-8951f at doses of 15 and 25 mg/kg significantly inhibited BxPC-3 primary tumor growth compared with control (P = 0.001, 0.000 respectively; Figs. 1B and 3). The IR% was 82% for DX-8951f at 25 mg/kg and 15 mg/kg (Figs. 1B and 3). DX-8951f inhibited BxPC-3 lymphatic metastasis formation in a dose-dependent manner in the early cancer model (P = 0.048; Figs. 4 and 5). Gemcitabine inhibited BxPC-3 primary tumor growth less than DX-8951f in the early cancer model with an IR of only 51.7% (P = 0.017) and 48% (P = 0.025) for the high and low dose, respectively (Figs. 1B, and 3). The dose-dependent inhibition of the occurrence of lymphatic metastases was greater for DX-8951f than gemcitabine (Fig. 5).

BxPC-3 Late-stage Cancer Model. DX-8951f was effective against BxPC-3 lymphatic metastasis and completely eliminated lung metastasis (Fig. 6). In contrast to DX-8951f, gemcitabine (300 mg/kg) had no effect on the occurrence of BxPC-3 (25 mg/kg) lymphatic or lung metastasis in the late-stage model (Fig. 6). DX-8951f (25 mg/kg) was more effective in inhibition of BxPC-3 primary tumors than gemcitabine (300 mg/kg). The IR of DX-8951f was 45 versus 25% for gemcitabine in the late-stage model (Fig. 1C).

These results are also seen when the total GFP lymphatic metastasis were quantified using optical imaging at sacrifice (Fig. 6A). The results indicate that DX-8951f (25 mg/kg) significantly inhibited BxPC-3 lymphatic metastasis compared with control (P = 0.000). In contrast, gemcitabine (300 mg/kg) did not significantly inhibit lymphatic metastasis (Fig. 6A).

In addition, the occurrence of lung metastasis was examined by histological analysis under light microscopy on the day of sacrifice. The results indicated that DX-8951f (25 mg/kg) completely prevented BxPC-3 lung metastasis (P = 0.001), whereas gemcitabine had no effect on lung metastasis formation (Figs. 6B and 7) in the late-stage model.

Thus, DX-8951f is a more potent antitumor and antimetastatic drug in both early- and late-stage models compared with gemcitabine. In both pancreatic cancer models, DX-8951f inhibited tumor growth and had a higher tumor growth IR compared with gemcitabine. In the early cancer model, gemcitabine was ineffective in inhibition of the primary MIA-PaCa-2 tumor and did not inhibit metastasis of BxPC-3. In the late cancer model, DX-8951f inhibited lymphatic metastasis and eliminated lung metastasis of BxPC-3, whereas gemcitabine had no anti-metastatic efficacy. Thus, DX-8951f is more effective than gemcitabine against primary human pancreatic tumor growth and metastasis in orthotopic nude mouse models thereby showing significant promise against a currently intractable disease. Promising clinical results in Phase I and Phase II trials have now been observed for DX-8951f (17, 18). With the results of this article, clinical trials can now be designed to evaluate DX-8951f in both early and advanced metastatic pancreatic cancer.

An important feature of the study was the use of the orthotopic
model that enabled the metastatic potential of the human pancreatic tumors to be expressed in nude mice. In particular, the SOI model has been demonstrated to be a clinically relevant orthotopic model (19). The use of GFP gave significant added power to the SOI model, enabling the observation of metastasis at the single cell level (20). The ultra high-resolution of GFP.
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